

[Home](#)[Background](#)
[Attack](#)
[Aftermath](#)
[Evidence](#)
[Misinformation](#)
[Analysis](#)
[Memorial](#)[Search](#)
[Essays](#)
[Reviews](#)
[Talks](#)
[Building 7](#)
[WTC](#)
[Pentagon](#)
[NIST](#)[Interviews](#)
[FAQs](#)[conspiracy](#)
[demolition](#)
[pentagon](#)[Materials](#)
[WTC flyers](#)
[WTC posters](#)[Papers](#)
[Resources](#)
[letters](#)
[web](#)
[books](#)
[videos](#)
[webcasts](#)
[mirrors](#)[Site Guide](#)
[Contents](#)
[Glossary](#)
[Site Map](#)
[Recent](#)
[Highlights](#)[About](#)
[Contact](#)
[Contribute](#)

9-11 Research

An Attempt to Uncover the Truth About September 11th, 2001

We all know the official story of September 11th: [four jetliners](#) were hijacked by groups of four and five Arabic men armed with box cutters, who proceeded to fly three of the four jets into the [Twin Towers](#) and the [Pentagon](#). Subsequently the World Trade Center Towers, weakened by the impacts and fires, collapsed into piles of rubble. The FBI had compiled a list of hijackers within three days, and it was so obvious that Osama bin Laden had masterminded the operation from caves in Afghanistan, that there was no need to seriously investigate the crime or produce evidence. The "retaliatory" attack on the Taliban would soon commence.

Is this story true? Its central assumptions have never been tested by an official government body whose members lack obvious conflicts of interest. There are numerous [red flags](#) in the official story, which requires a long series of [highly improbable coincidences](#). [Questioning that story](#) is an act of responsible citizenship.

9-11RESEARCH PROVIDES FACTUAL, REASONED
ANALYSIS
OF THE 9/11 ATTACK

* DECONSTRUCTING PROPAGANDA SERVING THE
'OFFICIAL STORY'

[NEW: National Geographic Does 9/11: Another Icon Debased in Service of the Big Lie](#) - Like [Popular Mechanics' 9/11 Lies Straw Man](#), only dumber?

* DOCUMENTING EVIDENCE OF THE CRIME

[RECENT: Thermitic Pyrotechnics in the WTC Made Simple: Three Points of Active Thermitic Material Discovered in Dust from the 9/11 World Trade Center Catastrophe that Anyone Can Understand](#) - A concise non-technical summary of three key points about recently documented unexploded pyrotechnics from the Twin Towers

[RECENT: Explosives Found in World Trade Center Dust: Scientists Discover Both Residues And Unignited Fragments Of High-Tech Metal Incendiaries In Debris From the Twin Towers](#) - A non-technical guide to the newly published paper explaining the identification of nano-engineered explosive materials in dust from the Twin Towers

* EXPOSING FALLACIES OF THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

[Building a Better Mirage: NIST's 3-Year \\$20,000,000 Cover-Up of the Crime of the Century](#) - NIST's Report evades the very question it purported to investigate: what caused the total destruction of the World Trade Center's Twin Towers?

* EXAMINING FLAWED THEORIES PRESENTED AS 9/11 TRUTH

[Google Earth Exposes Pentagon Flyover Farce or Critiquing PentaCon \(Smoking Crack Version\)](#) - Showing the absurdity of *The PentaCon's* premise

[The Pentagon Attack: What the Physical Evidence Shows](#) -

DISCLAIMER

[9-11 Research does not promote incivility, junk science, or 'no-jetliner' claims.](#)



photographs and videos, provides referenced reports of events relating to the crime, and offers detailed analysis of theories of the attack, especially those advanced by the official FEMA and NIST investigations.

Widely recognized for the quality of work it presents, 9-11 Research has been deceptively portrayed by media icons such as [Scientific American](#) and [Popular Mechanics](#) as promoting the very methods and ideas it works to expose as smokescreens to genuine investigation of the crimes of 9/11/01.

9-11 Research recommends the following organizations for their rational and science-based analysis of the attack. See the [Architects & Engineers and Scholars](#) sites for regularly updated listings of educational events.

Scholars for
9/11 Truth & Justice

Architects and Engineers
for 9/11 Truth

Journal of

Exposing errors underpinning the "no-jetliner" theories

9/11 Studies

DONATE
V 1.318

Copyright 2003-2009,
911Research.WTC7.net/site
last updated: 9/11/09
[fair use notice](#)

9-11 Research focuses on the facts of the attack and analysis of the official explanation.

The companion site 911Review.com provides a broader view, including historical context and the use of disinformation to conceal the truth.

articles [attack](#)
 [mythology](#)
 [coverup](#)

911Review.com

[means](#)
 [precedent](#)
 [disinfo](#)
 [motive](#)
 [errors](#)

page last modified: 2009-08-27